

**Town of Wareham  
Zoning Board of Appeals  
Minutes – December 8, 2021**

- I. **CALL MEETING TO ORDER:** Chairperson, Nazih Elkallassi opened the meeting at 6:31 p.m. via Zoom.
- II. **ROLL CALL:** Present: Chairman, Nazih Elkallassi, Clerk, James Eacobacci, Richard Semple, Troy Larson, and Veronica DeBonise, Chris Contie and Mr. Jacob Morrison

**Absent:** Jacob Morrison

Planning Director, Ken Buckland was also in attendance.

Assistant Planning Director, Mr. Sheehan was also in attendance.

**III. PRELIMINARY BUSINESS:**

1. **15-20 David & Cynthia Garnett – Request for Minor Modification – 23 Fisherman Cove Road – Map 3, Lot R 15**

Mr. & Mrs. Garnett was present. He stated they were present for a request for a minor modification to extend the room out 2 more feet to meet with the farmers porch. She said she had previously received a Special Permit and noticed that the room that was extending out wasn't meeting out to the farmers porch and now is asking for the room to meet two more feet. She said they want to make the 8x13 to a 10x13.

Mr. Elkallassi turned it over to the board members who had no further questions.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to close and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to grant the request for the minor modification for 15-20 David & Cynthia Garnett as requested and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously. (5-0).

1. **55-21 Mannix – Special Permit, Variance and Site Plan Review – 238 & 240 Sandwich Road – Map 133, Lot(s) 1100A & 1101A – Crossroads Care Center**

Attorney Jillian Morton was present for reconsideration to reopen the public hearing. She said they submitted plans.

Mr. Conti stated if it was to reconsider, he should be able to hear the hearing.

Mr. Eacobacci stated that Town Counsel did weigh in as there was a question last time of Robert Rules of Order. Mr. Eacobacci stated that Counsel said the ZBA does not need to follow Roberts Rules of Order and it is within the boards right to reconsider the petition.

Mr. Elkallassi stated that Mr. Morrison would be allowed to vote on this as he said that he has reviewed the minutes under the Mullins rule. Mr. Conti stated under the Mullins Rule, that Mr. Morrison would have to write a statement and he has not.

Mr. Elkallassi said they would table the applicant until Mr. Morrison can put something in writing.

Mr. Buckland stated if they wanted to reopen the public hearing, they only have the ninety-day window and should take that into consideration.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to open the hearing and it was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Rowley questioned whether those residents that left thinking the meeting was closed those people should be able to be re-notified. He said he always understood that once a meeting was closed, they couldn't take more information in.

Mr. Elkallassi stated that they did close the public hearing last time, however that motion was rescinded.

Mr. Buckland stated they made a motion to approve at the last meeting but then it failed as it didn't have enough members voting in the positive.

Mr. Conti stated he reviewed the tape online and that the part where they denied it was not on the tape.

Mr. Mannix updated some information on the project regarding the generator and sidewalks in new plans that they have submitted. He described a garage with same height at Mass General Hospital that cars can fit and showed pictures.

Attorney Morton stated she believes they have put together a comprehensive new set of plans and package with more information as requested from the board.

Board members stated they reviewed the plans online.

Mr. Rowley stated he has nothing more to say on the plans as he wasn't sure they were going to bring it back.

Attorney Morton stated they have had a few different meetings and it's a due process at this moment.

Mr. Buckland stated they have 60 days to make a decision once the Public Hearing is closed.

Mr. Elkallassi stated he thinks they should continue the hearing one more time to have Mr. Rowley review the new set of plans.

Mr. Mannix said it was only a few changes that were made to the new plans. He said they added the sidewalk and moved the generator as requested. He said they also provided pictures with the garage height.

Mr. Eacobacci asked if any board members think the board should continue the hearing. Mr. Semple stated that because Mr. Rowley hasn't reviewed the new plans, he would like to give him the courtesy to do that and thinks they should continue. Mr. Morrison agreed.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to close the public hearing and was seconded by Mr. Morrison. The Motion passed unanimously. (5-0).

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to approve the plans as presented and revised as a site plan review for 55-21 Mannix. Mr. Elkallassi stated he would like to add the condition that it is strictly approved as intermittent care and any other changes need to come back to the Zoning Board. Mr. Semple made a motion to second.

On the question, Mr. Conti said that he thinks the whole process is incorrect and that Mr. Morrison is supposed to file his Mullins with the Town Clerk, not write the letter up this evening. He said because the vote was not favorable for the applicant, the board shouldn't just be able to add another member who may vote favorably. He said he feels the board is opening themselves up for a legal matter and appeal.

Ms. Debonise stated she agreed with Mr. Conti.

Mr. Elkallassi said that Mr. Morrison is a full-time member and is entitled to vote in this matter with a Mullins.

Mr. Conti said he attended a seminar the night before and specifically asked about site plan review.

The Motion was approved 4-1-0; with Ms. Debonise in opposition. Voting yes were members, Mr. Morrison, Mr. Semple, Mr. Elkallassi and Mr. Eacobacci.

Mr. Elkallassi after the vote told Mr. Conti he was entitled to go to speak to Town Counsel about what opinion was offered by Counsel. The question was whether a two-thirds vote to close the public hearing or a majority vote was necessary to close it.

#### **IV. CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

##### **2. 56-21 Doobie – Special Permit and Site Plan Review -4 Recovery Road Assessors Map 108, Lot 1006/B – Marijuana Establishment**

Phil Mackey, Attorney was present along with Erica and Justin Kennedy, the principals of 56-21 Doobie.

Mr. Elkallassi stated that Mr. Conti would be on this hearing to vote as the hearing was already open and whoever is present when it opens stays on to vote.

Mr. Mackey stated they don't meet the threshold for site plan review. He said they went back to the building commissioner for a review of whether the building commissioner needed a site plan review. This would only be for a special permit, versus a site plan review as well.

Mr. Mackey stated this is the lease of the building through the Town of Wareham and is for delivery only. He said they would not trigger the ten parking spaces. They will have three employees and three vehicles overnight, which will be nine parking spaces. He said they would not be open to the public. He said there is no significant signage proposed. Cosmetic only to the building, no structural changes.

Mr. Elkallassi asked when the state approved delivery only. Ms. Kennedy stated the state voted on delivery only in November 2020. They explained there are very specific different licenses regulated by the state.

Ms. DeBonise said she was fine with it but there was a question on how much they would be going back and forth with deliveries.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to close the public hearing and it was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously. (5-0).

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to grant the Special Permit for 56-21 Doobie, as presented for 4 Recovery Road as it is not substantial to the neighborhood. The Motion was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously 5-0.

Mr. Buckland stated there was a decision brought in this afternoon for Saturday and Sunday hours.

**3. 57-21 Doobie – Special Permit and Site Plan Review – 10 Little Brook Road – Map 89, Lot 2/E – Marijuana Establishment**

Attorney Phil Mackey was present along with Erica and Justin Kennedy of 134 Sandwich Road, Wareham. Mr. Mackey stated there was a new letter written by the Building Commissioner as they only need seven parking spots. He said this is the cultivation and manufacturing only. He said they do not have a license to transport from one site to another at this point. He said this site is also closed to the public, not on-site sales.

Mr. Elkallassi asked the board members for comments, there were none. He asked for public comment, there was none.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to close the public hearing and it was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to grant the Special Permit for 57-21 Doobie, 10 Little Brook Road as presented with standard conditions and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously. (5-0)

**V. PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

**1. 2-21 TGCI EMNACA, LLC – 40b Comp Permit – Settlers Glen, 3128 Cranberry Highway – Changing application from privately owned units to rental units**

Mr. Elkallassi opened the Public Hearing.

Attorney Bobrowski was present for the applicant.

Mr. Eacobacci read the advertisement in for the record.

Cheryl Nichols and Jim O'Brien were present.

Mr. Bobrowski stated this was a rental project that wants to be a rental project, 20 units instead of five. He said the rental units would be "affordable" to five units under the affordable act through the state. He said the board had previously approved the units to be for sale and they are requesting the change to rental. The income units would be the same. He said Ms. Nichols is the managing advisor of the project.

Ms. Nichols stated a twenty-unit project is going to be overseen by a professional management company. She explained she has been with professional management for twenty years. She said there will be a physical presence on the property on a regular basis.

Mr. O'Brien stated they've said a lot of rental properties and it's not the typical rental product. He said they've kept the use as the board initiated.

Attorney said that the DHCD requirement is to have one-year leases for rental units.

Mr. Elkallassi stated he believes there is a lot of neighbors who possibly don't like the rental portion and said he would open it up to the public after the board speaks on it.

Mr. Conti said he originally like the idea of owned properties. He said he would like to hear from the neighbors. Ms. DeBonise agreed that she liked the idea of home ownership for the rental units.

Mr. Eacobacci stated he heard the people that own the current property also owns the property across the street for the 150 units.

Mr. O'Brien said that he and his partner own current property one hundred percent. The property across the street is owned by the Dakota firm at one hundred percent.

Mr. Elkallassi stated he'd like to see a grinder pump to help minimize any issues.

Attorney Bobrowski said they are okay to do that on an individual basis, like twenty grinder pumps. Mr. O'Brien has been speaking to his engineer about those.

Mr. O'Brien explained the affordable housing rent according to Department of Housing from Boston.

A few residents shared their concerns. Ms. Debbie Hennan said she heard it was first units for-sale and then now rentals and for-sale. She said it doesn't matter how well the units are vetted, she is concerned about more rental units on both sides of the street. She said she was concerned renters don't take care of the property as owners do because they're not invested in a rental unit only.

Ms. Brenda White asked the board not to allow this unit as this was a bait and switch because it's not for-sale units as they had originally proposed.

Another resident stated she just moved to Wareham and feels that if the rent is too high, they may be getting tenants that can't afford the rental, which causes issues.

Mr. Bobrowski said they are not "Dakota" which are the units across the street.

Mr. Eacobacci asked about how these came first approved under condominiums and they have a management company associated with them along with HOA's. He said if there were HOA's and dues, perhaps people would be more vested with the property. He said he is leaning in the direction of ownership units.

The board members said they agreed with the units being ownership vs. rental units.

Mr. Bobrowski said they would like to close the public hearing.

Mr. Eacobacci made the Motion to close the public hearing and was seconded by Ms. DeBonise. The Motion passed unanimously.

Ms. DeBonise made the Motion to deny the application, 2-21 TGCI Emnaca, LLC, Settlers Glen, 3128 Cranberry Highway and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed to deny, 3-2 (Ms. DeBonise, Mr. Morrison and Mr. Semple in favor of denying; Mr. Eacobacci and Mr. Elkallassi abstaining)

## **2. 60-21 Craig Churchill – Special Permit and/or Variance – 45 Webster Street – Map 3, Lot 133**

Mr. Eacobacci read the advertisement in for the record. Mr. Elkallassi opened the public hearing.

Mr. Frank Westgate, land surveyor was present.

Mr. Doug Churchill is representing his son, Craig Churchill.

Mr. Westgate said it was a single family and would like to add a second story in the same footprint. He said the variance is needed because it's a non-conforming lot.

Mr. Elkallassi explained to the Board if non-detrimental to the neighborhood it would be voted on as a Special Permit, if detrimental would be a Variance.

Ms. Debonise asked about the existing F.A.R. is conforming so to change it would be a variance, she was clarifying if it is a variance indeed. Mr. Elkallassi stated that the existing F.A.R. (ratio) is 14.6% and would be going to 28%.

Mr. Semple stated he was fine with it and it's in keeping with the neighborhood.

Mr. Lawson agreed that it would improve the house and the neighborhood.

Mr. Elkallassi opened it up to the public for comment. There was none.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to close the public hearing and was seconded by Mr. Morrison. The Motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to determine it is a Special Permit, he said there is a letter in support from ten neighbors. The Motion was seconded by Mr. Semple and passed unanimously. (5-0)

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to approve 60-21, Craig Churchill of 45 Webster Street as presented with a standard condition and those architectural designs be submitted. The motion was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously. (5-0)

**3. 61-21 William Bachant – Variance – 81 Eleventh Street – Map 1, Lot 445B & 447B**

Mr. Elkallassi opened the public hearing and Mr. Eacobacci read the advertisement in for the record.

Attorney Jillian Morton was present for the applicant. She said they are looking at a unique situation. In reviewing the certified plot plan, was approved by the Planning Board. The corner lot had two dwellings on it and went through the planning board to split the lots. She said now they need to get variances as they are short the required square footage on both lots. Ms. Morton said they have the frontage required on one lot. She said the lots in the area are around 5,000 sq feet as characterized by the area.

Mr. Elkallassi stated to be clear that there were two dwellings on the one lot and then the lots were split by the Planning Board. He said it makes ownership easier with two different lots.

Mr. Conti asked a question about the one lot with the dwelling on it. Ms. DeBonise asked about 1-2 family dwellings by right in this district and she would be concerned if each home became a two-family dwelling.

Mr. Semple stated he went to review the property and clarified what he thought he saw.

Ms. DeBonise clarified that they would be allowing 5,000 sq feet to be one or two dwelling per the use schedule. Mr. Elkallassi said if there is 14,000 sq ft lot you would be allowed to have a duplex. Discussion ensued.

The owner of 9 Camp Street was present as the new owner and she said the sewer easement is required would be on the other property and not hers. She said that the property was split prior to her buying 9 Camp Street.

Attorney Morton said the ANR was signed and registered at the registry of deeds.

Mr. Elkallassi said that the Planning Board can split the two lots but the one lot should've not been sold legally without a variance.

Ms. DeBonise said she believes this is a legal matter and doesn't think they should make any decision.

Mr. Chase, of 5 Camp Street was present. He expressed what wanted to be done at that property that was split. He said the sewer lines are already set up to be attached accordingly. He said he feels confident it wouldn't be on the neighbor's property. He said it would benefit the neighborhood as a whole. He encouraged the board to vote in favor of the petition.

Ms. Morton stated they were seeking a variance as they are creating a new non-conformity.

Ms. Debonise said she would like to see this come back to the board after it is clear about the 5 or 10,000 square foot lot. She said she's uncomfortable it was done prior to coming before this board and hear from the commissioner what transpired.

Mr. Elkallassi said there is a clean variance in front of them and it makes it better for everyone.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to close the Public Hearing and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously. (5-0).

Mr. Eacobacci stated he has reviewed the plan since the opening of the meeting and he said he is okay with moving forward.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to grant the variance as presented for 61-21 William Bachant, 81 Eleventh Street and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion was approved unanimously. (5-0).

#### **4. 62-21 Robert Hammond – Use Variance – 5 Indian Neck Road – Map 45, Lot F-63**

Mr. Elkallassi opened the public hearing. Mr. Eacobacci read the advertisement in for the record.

Mr. and Mrs. Hammond were present. He said that he had a 24x44 open area on the second floor, as a sub floor and left. He said he wants to put a two bedroom and bath with separate kitchen to rent to his brother-in-law. Mr. Hammond said there would be two points of egress.

Mr. Elkallassi said they need 45,000 sq feet of land as well as 200' frontage, which they do not have.

Mr. Eacobacci asked about what an 'accessory apartment' is identified as.

Mr. Hammond said currently it would be made up for his brother-in-law, but potential could be used for someone else in the future.

Mr. Lawson said that he has a potential issue that it's a residential neighborhood and can be rented out to anyone.

Mr. Elkallassi said this does not meet the required frontage and/or square footage.

Mr. Hammond said he was under the impression when he brought the property from the previous owner that the town made him get the sewer and water from both sides of the property (Wareham Road and Indian Neck Road) in the case that they may subdivide. He said that was the intent by the prior owner.

Mr. Elkallassi said it does not meet the requirements for a duplex. He said they could be opening a can of worms to one neighbor and another.

Mr. Semple said he went to the property for review and he said it's a lot of structure on the property along with the dwellings and the garage. He said he was concerned as it has a lot of building on one lot.

Mr. Eacobacci asked if they were adding any more buildings to the lot.

Mr. Hammond said they would be adding walls.

Mr. Elkallassi said a use variance is used to state they are going from one-family to a duplex.

Mr. Elkallassi opened it up for public comment and there was none. Mr. Elkallassi said he would suggest build it without the added kitchen but he said they could not legally grant the variance as they don't have the required frontage and or square footage. He said he is not going to make this a practice and would not vote in favor of it. He said even with one-bedroom it is not conforming.

Mr. Hammond said he thought it was pretty straight forward.

Darlene Gamache said she is an abutting neighbor and asked if the neighborhood agreed could they still go forward.

Mr. Elkallassi said it would be up the board.

Mr. Eacobacci asked if the applicant if they wanted to accept the compromised position that the Chairperson suggested.

Mr. Elkallassi said they wouldn't need the vote if they don't put in a kitchen, just a building permit.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to close the public hearing and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to grant the use variance for 62-21 Robert Hammond as long as they don't build a separate kitchen. Ms. DeBonise said they don't need a motion for that. There was no second.

Mr. Elkallassi asked the Hammond's to withdraw without prejudice, as if they go forward tonight it would be denied. Mr. Hammond agreed to withdraw.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to allow the applicant to withdraw without prejudice and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously. (5-0)

#### **5. 63-21 Dale Mitchell – Special Permit and/or Variance – 7 John Street – Map 50-E-4, Lot 528**

Mr. Elkallassi opened the public hearing. Mr. Eacobacci read the advertisement in for the record.

Mr. Mitchell was present to state he wanted to build a family room on the existing deck of the home. He explained the setbacks that he has currently at the home.

Mr. Conti said he was reviewing Mr. Riquinha's letter. He said he had no further questions.

Mr. Eacobacci stated he understood it as they want to use a deck as a three-family room. He said it seemed pretty simple.

Mr. Elkallassi opened it up to the public and there was no comment.

Mr. Eacobacci made a motion to close the public hearing and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Eacobacci made a motion to grant the 63-021, 7 John Street as a Special Permit and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to grant the Special Permit, 63-021 7 John Street as presented and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously. (5-0).

#### **6. 64-21 Scott Green – Variance – 17 Murphy Street – Map 50B, Lot 1-41**

Mr. Elkallassi opened up the public hearing. Mr. Eacobacci read the advertisement in for the record.

Attorney Morton was in present to represent Mr. Green to build a home on a non-conforming unbuildable lot. She said the recording owner is Bella Vieira estate. Attorney Medeiros is the Aire. Ms. Morton said this was in a R30 district. She said it did have dwellings on it and is right on the beach. She showed the cottages that were on the lot at one point and it was destroyed in Hurrican Bob. She said they attached a memorandum from Attorney Medeiros on what happened with the lot; as the lots are very unique in that area. She said if they get a variance for the lot to build on, they are also for a height variance. The height of the new structure being 47', in that area the maximum is 35', because they are proposing a two level and they have to go on stilts.

Attorney Morton stated she is aware there is some abutters that have some concerns about conservation land. Ms. Morton said they would be going in front of the Conservation.

Mr. Elkallassi asked if there was a statue of limitation rule on the property because it wasn't built in a certain time frame since the 'act of god' that took the home with the hurricane. Attorney Morton said she didn't believe so.

Mr. Elkallassi explained to the board that there were two variances are needed, one for the height and one for the square footage.

Attorney Al Medeiros was present and stated he understood the grandfather rule didn't apply. He said they went to the building commissioner and conservation in 1992 and 1993; but withdrew as his grandfather was sick for fifteen years. He said after this grandmother passed, Mr. Green came forward and that's where they are now.

Mr. Conti said he felt that because it was a vacant land, he can see that it would be a hardship. He wasn't sure that 47' was needed.

Mr. Eacobacci said he felt a bit foggy regarding the Building Commissioner's comments reflecting if the property was never built on they could build; however because their was a home on it and it got demolish, they only had a period of years to rebuild and did not. He said the height looked like a 3-story was being built on stilts.

Mr. Semple agreed that the height was a bit much.

Mr. Elkallassi opened it up for public comment.

Margaret Andersen of 20 Murphy Street was present. She submitted a memo for the record and spoke. She said her home address was in Worcester, MA. She said she doesn't have a problem with the build, but the size is a little too much. She said the height is a concern but also the house itself is 5 bedrooms, 30,000 sq feet. She said most houses in the area is not that big. She said her home was on stilts in 1990.

Attorney Morton said they do meet the building coverage at 18%.

Another abutter stated the same as he was concerned about the height and size.

Attorney Morton said they would consider bringing the height down to 35' and asked for a continuance to the January meeting.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to continue to January 12, 2022 per the applicant's request and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously.

**7. 65-21/108 High Street Realty Trust – Variance – 7A & 7B Church Avenue – Map 47, Lot(s) 1023.B & 1023.C**

Mr. Elkallassi opened the public hearing. Mr. Eacobacci read the advertisement in for the record.

Mr. Elkallassi recused himself from the hearing. Ms. DeBonise stated she would also recuse herself. Mr. Semple stated he learned at a Zoning seminar that anyone that recuses themselves should really leave the room.

Mr. Brian Grady of GAF Engineering was present on behalf of the applicant. Mr. Grady stated there are two homes being built on this property. He said this lot is considered one lot per the Assessors so the lots merged. He said there is an ANR plan that has been deeded. He said they are seeking to divide back to the two lots, but then that would be non-conforming. He said they have two single family homes. They are seeking relief from Article 6 for lot area. He said this could not be turned into a duplex in the future, as it is non-conforming.

Mr. Eacobacci said there are two homes being built at this time and asked if they were single-family homes.

Mr. Grady said they are two, single family homes and that's all they can be.

Mr. Semple and Mr. Morrison had no questions at this time. Mr. Lawson had no questions. Mr. Conti asked if the lots were merged because of the same owner.

Mr. Grady explained that the lots were created in 1962 and then the zoning changed, which then the lots had to merge to meet zoning because they were commonly owned. He said they are looking to split the lots again so that they can have the lots split under two different owners.

Resident and abutter from High Street asked about the separation all the way up the street to the dentist office. He said they bought for the beauty of High Street and wanted to make sure it stayed the same.

Mr. Morrison made a motion to close the public hearing and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Morrison made a motion to grant the variance for 65-21, 108 High Street Realty Trust as presented and was seconded by Mr. Larson. The motion passed unanimously. (5-0).

**8. 66-21 Robert Malone – Variance – 79 Blackmore Pond Circle – Map 76, Lot 8**

Mr. Elkallassi opened the public hearing. Mr. Eacobacci read the advertisement in for the record.

Armando Perreira, engineer was present. HE said they are looking to increase this to a summer cottage to an all-around four-season home. He explained what is existing currently. He said 14.5' from edge of home to property line is actually 9'. He said from the pond they are increasing to 9.5' on the side yard. He said he's looking for it to be straight out with the bump area of the house. He said Mr. Malone is retiring and looking to stay here year around.

Katelyn Bradbury at 74 Blackmore Pond Circle is in favor of this project.

Mr. Eacobacci made a motion to close the public hearing and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to grant the variance as presented with standard conditions and was seconded by Mr. Morrison. The Motion passed unanimously. (5-0).

Mr. Eacobacci made a Motion to adjourn and was seconded by Mr. Semple. The Motion passed unanimously.

Respectively,

Patricia A. Pacella  
Recording Secretary

Date signed: 7-13-2022

Attest: James D. Eacobacci

James Eacobacci, Clerk

WAREHAM ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Date copy sent to Town Clerk: \_\_\_\_\_

WAREHAM TOWN CLERK  
2022 JUL 14 PM1:10