

Comment and Resolution Form

PERMIT #: 5-2022-0282  
PERMIT TYPE: Driveway  
LOCATION: WAREHAM, 28, 2400 Cranberry Hwy  
APPLICANT: True Storage AGENT: Sean McDowell  
SUBMITTAL: 75/100%  
SUBMITTED BY: Florcie St. Fleur-Jerome



DATE: Sep 19, 2022

NO.	SHEET OR ITEM	COMMENT	DOCUMENT UPLOADED?	INITIAL ACTION	RESPONSE	QC REVIEW INITIAL	FINAL ACTION VERIFIED
COMPLETED BY REVIEWER			COMPLETED BY DESIGNER				
HIGHWAY OPERATIONS (Nicole Berthiaume) Submission Type/#: 1 - One							
1		The revised stormwater measures are approved.					
PERMITS (Florcie St. Fleur-Jerome) Submission Type/#: 1 - One							
1		No comments					
PROJECT DEVELOPMENT (Sandra Serpa) Submission Type/#: 1 - One							
1	Previous Comme nt #3	Although the “Sidewalk Ramp Through Driveway Inset” on sheet C-3 provides various dimensions for the proposed ramps, a data table similar to the sample shown in Exhibit 18-20 of the PDDG referenced in the previous comment is necessary. The data table should include the station location referenced from the record baseline for each ramp and the applicable design data (width, slope and transition lengths). The high side ramp transition should comply with standard detail 107.9.0 based on the existing gutter slope.	X	A	A data table has been provided to the sidewalk ramp detail on sheet C-8 to include station location referenced for each ramp and applicable design data.	CK	
2	Previous Comme nt #6	Identify the existing DMHs within the limits of the proposed HMA sidewalk to be adjusted as necessary.	X	A	A note has been added to sheet C-3 regarding the existing DMHs within the limits of the proposed HMA sidewalk to be adjusted as necessary.	CK	
3	Previous Comme nt #9	Provide the spot elevations at the ramp grade breaks and confirm the gutter elevation (labeled 49.65) on the right side of the driveway, which is not consistent with the roadway gutter slope.	X	A	Spot elevations have been provided at the ramp grade breaks on sheet C-3. According to the spot elevations provided from the topographic survey the roadway gutter along the "high side transition" is flat therefore the curb transition has been kept at 6.5'.	CK	
4	General	The 12' radii identified on the plans does not comply with the Massachusetts Amendments to the MUTCD. Revise the radii to comply or provide justification for the non-conformance.	X	A	The radii have been revised to comply with the Massachusetts Amendments to the MUTCD.	CK	
5	General	Review the need to modify the centerline markings within Route 28 based on the proposed access/egress location.	X	A	Centerline markings have been modified with Rt. 28 based on the proposed access/egress location.	CK	
6	General	Comments are noted on the attached marked-up plans which include corrections related to the above comments and additional minor corrections to details on sheet C-8. Please address all comments and corrections with the revised submission.	X	A	All comments on the marked-up plans have been addressed in this revised submission.	CK	
TRAFFIC (Thomas Rebello) Submission Type/#: 1 - One							
1	C-2	Increase driveway radii from 12 feet to 30 feet. Adjusts could be allowed to meet ADA requirements for pedestrian ramp.	X	A	Driveway radii have been increased to 30 feet.	CK	
2	C-2	Provide break in painted island to allow left turns in and out.	X	A	Breaks in painted island have been provided to allow turns.	CK	