

Review

# End-of-Life Photovoltaic Modules

Jovan Tan <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Shuyue Jia <sup>1,†</sup> and Seeram Ramakrishna <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Nanotechnology and Sustainability, Department of Mechanical Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119077, Singapore; shuyue@u.nus.edu (S.J.); seeram@nus.edu.sg (S.R.)

<sup>2</sup> Solar Energy Research Institute of Singapore, Solar Energy System Cluster, Singapore 117574, Singapore

\* Correspondence: jovan@u.nus.edu

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** More than 78 million tons of photovoltaic modules (PVMs) will reach their end of life (EOL) by 2050. If they are not responsibly managed, they can (a) pollute our terrestrial ecosystem, (b) indirectly encourage continuous mining and extraction of Earth's finite resources, and (c) diminish the net environmental benefit of harvesting solar energy. Conversely, successfully recovering them could reduce resource extraction and waste and generate sufficient economic return and value to finance the production of another 2 billion PVMs by 2050. Therefore, EOL PVMs must participate in the circular economy, and business and political leaders are actively devising strategies to enable their participation. This article aims to facilitate and expedite their efforts by comprehensively reviewing and presenting the latest progress and developments in EOL PVM recovery methods and processes. It also identifies and thoroughly discusses several interrelated observations that impede or accelerate their efforts. Overall, our approach to this article differs but synergistically complements and builds upon existing life cycle assessment-based (LCA-based) contributions.

**Keywords:** life cycle; circular economy; circularity; end-of-life; solar energy; photovoltaics; energy decarbonization; energy systems; photovoltaic modules; sustainability



**Citation:** Tan, J.; Jia, S.; Ramakrishna, S. End-of-Life Photovoltaic Modules. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 5113. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15145113>

Academic Editor: Gianpiero Colangelo

Received: 14 June 2022

Accepted: 12 July 2022

Published: 13 July 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Renewable energy will be the future as the push for energy decarbonization accelerates. These energy sources are virtually inexhaustible and replenish naturally. They also do not pollute the air or emit harmful greenhouse gases. The total renewable energy produced worldwide grew by more than 75% in the preceding decade, from 4196.94 to 7443.81 Terawatt-hours (TWh) [1]. The International Energy Agency [2] projects that renewable energy systems will account for almost 95% of the increase in the global power capacity over the next 5 years. Such accelerated growth is expected, with a more significant and concerted push towards net-zero emissions. By 2050, renewable energy systems will likely replace fossil fuel-based energy systems as the dominant energy source [3,4].

### 1.1. Current State and Prospects of Solar Energy Systems

Among all, harvesting solar energy is one of the fastest-growing avenues and most favored [5]. Solar energy systems accounted for over half of all renewable power expansion in 2021 [2]. It experienced the most growth in the preceding decade among all renewable energy systems. Its global output grew at a 38.8% compounded average growth rate, implying that annual solar power generation doubled every few years. If solar energy systems continued to grow at this rate, they would become the most significant contributor to power generation growth [1,2,4,6].

Solar energy is one of the safest forms of energy on Earth [7]. Sunlight, the richest and most abundant resource that reaches all parts of Earth's surface [8], can be converted into electricity using photovoltaics, solar heating and cooling, and concentrating solar power [9].

efficiently extract high-purity recyclates from EOL PVMs without producing additional waste and carbon emissions. The lesson learned is that planning for the EOL of the next-generation PVMs should not be an afterthought. Hence, taking a step further, we encourage scholars to explore the development of tools and frameworks to enable next-generation PVMs to be designed for participation in the circular economy.

Our second observation relates to the scale of published works. We observed that most works were conducted at a laboratory scale or trialed on a small scale. We speculate that scholars might have limited opportunities to conduct large-scale investigations due to the global lack of established PVM recovery facilities to collaborate. Similar to the discontinuation of the FRELP project, the insufficient and inconsistent volume of EOL PVMs could be the primary reason behind the lack of industrial-scale facilities. Nevertheless, we believe this problem is transient and will gradually be resolved when annual EOL PVM generation exceeds 130,000 tons, the estimated level by the “European Association for the Recovery of PV Modules” required for PVM recovery activities to be sustainable [83]. In the meantime, the lack of access to realistic field tests remains a severe limitation to advancing further research. Without empirical data and evidence, it is difficult for scholars to continuously improve and propose readily adoptable solutions. Nevertheless, scholars should creatively devise means to conduct realistic simulations and prepare for upcoming field testing opportunities.

By extension of our second observation, our third observation relates to unit economics. Today’s unit economic calculations for EOL PVM recovery processes are primarily estimated and may not accurately represent future operations. Hence, similar to testing and reporting the technical and sustainability performances of the proposed solutions, the unit economics must be critically evaluated in a representative operational environment. More importantly, the unit economics must be financially attractive for industry players to participate. Presently, economic incentives for recovering EOL PVMs are severely limited as there is much uncertainty about the dominant recovery process, related investments required, and profitability. We believe that deriving representative unit economics will be an emerging research area that directly affects the future direction of EOL PVM recovery research.

In sum, for future works to add significant value, scholars must consider how their proposed solutions can be integrated into a more extensive industrial-scale system. At the same time, they must also consider its overall sustainability, operational feasibility, and economic viability. We recommend that scholars adopt tools such as LCA and techno-economic assessment to evaluate their technologies’ environmental and economic aspects thoroughly. We firmly believe harmonizing these aspects is critical for any solution to scale beyond laboratory scale and achieve widespread adoption. Scholars should also lead the way in redesigning PVMs with their EOL in mind if given the opportunity.

## 7. Conclusions

In conclusion, we discussed the urgent need for energy decarbonization and how it accelerates the world’s transition to adopting renewable energy sources. Solar energy is highly favored and widely adopted. Silicon-based PVMs, the most used instrument to capture and convert solar energy to electricity today, will continue to be rapidly deployed.

As all PVMs will eventually reach their end of life, this will create an abundance of incoming EOL PVMs. We discussed the consequences of mismanagement of EOL PVMs. This can result in (a) pollution across our terrestrial ecosystem, (b) indirectly encourage continuous mining and extraction of Earth’s finite resources, and (c) diminish the net environmental benefit of harvesting solar energy. On the other hand, the successful recovery of EOL PVMs could reduce resource extraction and waste and generate sufficient economic return and value to finance the production of another 2 billion PVMs by 2050.

Despite several proposed EOL PVM recovery methods (physical, chemical, and mixed) and processes (FRELP and ASU), none seemed to be a proven, effective, and responsible industrial-scale solution to manage the incoming EOL PVMs and reclaim their valuable

materials. While the current state-of-the-art demonstrated the technical and financial possibility of EOL PVMs being responsibly and effectively recovered, more needs to be done to ensure the desired outcome can be achieved at an industrial scale. To this end, accessing realistic field testing opportunities is critical for scholars to evaluate the practicality and implications of their proposals. Other critical variables such as its overall sustainability, operational feasibility, and economic viability must also be considered. Regardless, our review firmly suggests that scholars, the industry, and relevant stakeholders have recognized the imperative for EOL PVMs to participate in the circular economy. They also agree that ineffective EOL PVM management will become a black eye for the supposedly clean industry.

**Author Contributions:** J.T.: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, resources, writing—original and revision draft preparations, S.J.: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, resources, writing—original draft, S.R.: Resources, supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data is contained within the article.

**Acknowledgments:** J.T. would like to acknowledge the support provided by the NUS Research Scholarship (GRSUR5000001 Res Sch (PhD) SERIS SC) during his study.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

ARL	Anti-Reflective Layer
ASU	Arizona State University
CdTe	Cadmium Telluride
CIGS	Copper Indium Gallium Selenide
EOL	End-of-Life
EU	European Union
EVA	Ethylene-Vinyl Acetate
FRELFP	Full Recovery End of Life Photovoltaics
GaAs	Gallium Arsenide
InP	Indium Phosphide
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
PV	Photovoltaics
PVM	Photovoltaics Modules
TPT	Tedlar Polyester Tedlar
TWh	Terawatt-hours
WEEE	Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment

## References

1. BP. *Statistical Review of World Energy 2021, 70th Edition*; BP p.l.c.: London, UK, 2021; p. 70.
2. International Energy Agency (IEA). *Renewables 2021: Analysis and forecast to 2026*; International Energy Agency: Paris, France, 2021.
3. Dale, S. *Energy Outlook 2020 Edition*. BP p.l.c.: London, UK, 2020.
4. McKinsey & Company. *Global Energy Perspective 2021*; McKinsey & Company: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2021; p. 9.
5. Sundaram, S.; Benson, D.; Mallick, T.K. Overview of the PV Industry and Different Technologies. In *Solar Photovoltaic Technology Production*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; pp. 7–22.
6. Christophe Ballif, F.-J.H.; Boccard, M.; Verlinden, P.J.; Hahn, G. Status and perspectives of crystalline silicon photovoltaics in research and industry. *Nat. Reviews. Mater.* **2022**. [CrossRef]
7. Desjardins, J. The Safest Source of Energy Will Surprise You. Available online: <https://elements.visualcapitalist.com/worlds-safest-source-energy/> (accessed on 10 December 2021).

8. Kabir, E.; Kumar, P.; Kumar, S.; Adelodun, A.A.; Kim, K.-H. Solar energy: Potential and future prospects. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2018**, *82*, 894–900. [CrossRef]
9. Solar Energy Industries Association. Solar Energy. Available online: <https://www.seia.org/initiatives/about-solar-energy> (accessed on 4 August 2021).
10. Heath, G.A.; Silverman, T.J.; Kempe, M.; Deceglie, M.; Ravikumar, D.; Remo, T.; Cui, H.; Sinha, P.; Libby, C.; Shaw, S.; et al. Research and development priorities for silicon photovoltaic module recycling to support a circular economy. *Nat. Energy* **2020**, *5*, 502–510. [CrossRef]
11. Sundaram, S.; Benson, D.; Mallick, T.K. Potential Environmental Impacts From Solar Energy Technologies. In *Solar Photovoltaic Technology Production*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; pp. 23–45.
12. National Climate Change Secretariat. Singapore’s Approach To Alternative Energy. Available online: <https://www.nccs.gov.sg/singapores-climate-action/singapore-approach-to-alternative-energy/> (accessed on 16 February 2021).
13. Nemet, G.F. *How Solar Energy Became Cheap: A Model for Low-Carbon Innovation*; Routledge: London, UK, 2019. [CrossRef]
14. Atasu, A.; Duran, S.; Wassenhove, L.V. The Hidden Cost of Solar Energy. Available online: <https://knowledge.insead.edu/responsibility/the-hidden-cost-of-solar-energy-17926> (accessed on 20 February 2021).
15. Henbest, S.; Kimmel, M.; Callens, J.; Vasdev, A.; Brandily, T.; Berryman, I.; Danial, J.; Vickers, B. *New Energy Outlook 2021*; BloombergNEF: London, UK, 2021.
16. BloombergNEF. *New Energy Outlook 2021*. Available online: <https://about.bnef.com/new-energy-outlook/> (accessed on 15 August 2021).
17. Tan, J.; Cha, V. Innovation for Circular Economy. In *An Introduction to Circular Economy*; Springer: Singapore, 2021; pp. 369–395.
18. BP. Wind and Solar Power Grow Rapidly. Available online: <https://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energy-economics/energy-outlook/renewable-energy.html> (accessed on 15 March 2021).
19. Phadke, A.; Paliwal, U.; Abhyankar, N.; McNair, T.; Paulos, B.; Wooley, D.; O’Connell, R. *2035 The Report-Electricity*; University of California, Berkeley: Berkeley, CA, USA, 2021; p. 37.
20. Huang, W.-H.; Shin, W.J.; Wang, L.; Sun, W.-C.; Tao, M. Strategy and technology to recycle wafer-silicon solar modules. *Solar Energy* **2017**, *144*, 22–31. [CrossRef]
21. Weckend, S.; Wade, A.; Heath, G. *End-of-Life Management: Solar Photovoltaic Panels*; International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA): Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, 2016.
22. Tan, J.; Tiwari, S.K.; Ramakrishna, S. Single-Use Plastics in the Food Services Industry: Can It Be Sustainable? *Mater. Circ. Econ.* **2021**, *3*, 7. [CrossRef]
23. Tan, J.; Tan, F.J.; Ramakrishna, S. Transitioning to a Circular Economy: A Systematic Review of Its Drivers and Barriers. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 1757. [CrossRef]
24. Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems. *Photovoltaics Report*; Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems, ISE: Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany, 2022.
25. Rao, H.K.R.; Gemechu, E.; Thakur, U.; Shankar, K.; Kumar, A. Life cycle assessment of high-performance monocrystalline titanium dioxide nanorod-based perovskite solar cells. *Sol Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2021**, *230*, 10. [CrossRef]
26. Dufloy, J.R.; Peeters, J.R.; Altamirano, D.; Bracquene, E.; Dewulf, W. Demanufacturing photovoltaic panels: Comparison of end-of-life treatment strategies for improved resource recovery. *Cirp Ann.-Manuf. Technol.* **2018**, *67*, 29–32. [CrossRef]
27. Latunussa, C.E.L.; Ardente, F.; Blengini, G.A.; Mancini, L. Life Cycle Assessment of an innovative recycling process for crystalline silicon photovoltaic panels. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2016**, *156*, 101–111. [CrossRef]
28. Ardente, F.; Blengini, G.; Mancini, L.; Latunussa, C.; Pennington, D. *Analysis of Material Recovery from Photovoltaic Panels*; European Commission, Joint Research Centre: Ispra, Italy, 2016.
29. Bomgardner, M.M.; Scott, A. Recycling Renewables. Available online: <https://cen.acs.org/energy/renewables/Recycling-renewables/96/i15> (accessed on 25 March 2022).
30. Mathur, N.; Singh, S.; Sutherland, J.W. Promoting a circular economy in the solar photovoltaic industry using life cycle symbiosis. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **2020**, *155*, 104649. [CrossRef]
31. Huang, B.J.; Zhao, J.; Chai, J.Y.; Xue, B.; Zhao, F.; Wang, X.Y. Environmental influence assessment of China’s multi-crystalline silicon (multi-Si) photovoltaic modules considering recycling process. *Sol. Energy* **2017**, *143*, 132–141. [CrossRef]
32. Jung, B.; Park, J.; Seo, D.; Park, N. Sustainable System for Raw-Metal Recovery from Crystalline Silicon Solar Panels: From Noble-Metal Extraction to Lead Removal. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2016**, *4*, 4079–4083. [CrossRef]
33. Tokoro, C.; Lim, S.; Sawamura, Y.; Kondo, M.; Mochizuki, K.; Koita, T.; Namihira, T.; Kikuchi, Y. Copper/Silver Recovery from Photovoltaic Panel Sheet by Electrical Dismantling Method. *Int. J. Autom. Technol.* **2020**, *14*, 966–974. [CrossRef]
34. Zhang, Z.; Liu, M.; Wang, L.; Chen, T.P.; Zhao, L.; Hu, Y.F.; Xu, C.J. Optimization of indium recovery from waste crystalline silicon heterojunction solar cells by acid Leaching. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2021**, *230*, 8. [CrossRef]
35. Granata, G.; Pagnanelli, F.; Moscardini, E.; Havlik, T.; Toro, L. Recycling of photovoltaic panels by physical operations. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2014**, *123*, 239–248. [CrossRef]
36. Zhang, J.; Lv, F.; Ma, L.Y.; Yang, L.J. The status and trends of crystalline silicon PV module recycling treatment methods in Europe and China. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2013**, *724*, 200–204. [CrossRef]
37. Salama, A.; Richard, G.; Medles, K.; Zeghloul, T.; Dascalescu, L. Distinct recovery of copper and aluminum from waste electric wires using a roll-type electrostatic separator. *Waste Manag.* **2018**, *76*, 207–216. [CrossRef]

38. Akimoto, Y.; Iizuka, A.; Shibata, E. High-voltage pulse crushing and physical separation of polycrystalline silicon photovoltaic panels. *Miner. Eng.* **2018**, *125*, 1–9. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Dias, P.; Schmidt, L.; Gomes, L.B.; Bettanin, A.; Veit, H.; Bernardes, A.M. Recycling Waste Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Modules by Electrostatic Separation. *J. Sustain. Metall.* **2018**, *4*, 176–186. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Smith, Y.R.; Nagel, J.R.; Rajamani, R.K. Electrodynamic Eddy Current Separation of End-of-Life PV Materials. In Proceedings of the Energy Technologies Symposium, San Diego, CA, USA, 26 February–2 March 2017; pp. 379–386.
41. Song, B.-P.; Zhang, M.-Y.; Fan, Y.; Jiang, L.; Kang, J.; Gou, T.-T.; Zhang, C.-L.; Yang, N.; Zhang, G.-J.; Zhou, X. Recycling experimental investigation on end of life photovoltaic panels by application of high voltage fragmentation. *Waste Manag.* **2020**, *101*, 180–187. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Nevala, S.-M.; Hamuyumi, J.; Junnila, T.; Sirviö, T.; Eisert, S.; Wilson, B.P.; Serna-Guerrero, R.; Lundström, M. Electro-hydraulic fragmentation vs conventional crushing of photovoltaic panels—Impact on recycling. *Waste Manag.* **2019**, *87*, 43–50. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Wang, R.X.; Song, E.X.; Zhang, C.L.; Zhuang, X.N.; Ma, E.; Bai, J.F.; Yuan, W.Y.; Wang, J.W. Pyrolysis-based separation mechanism for waste crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules by a two-stage heating treatment. *RSC Adv.* **2019**, *9*, 18115–18123. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Bogust, P.; Smith, Y.R. Physical Separation and Beneficiation of End-of-Life Photovoltaic Panel Materials: Utilizing Temperature Swings and Particle Shape. *JOM* **2020**, *72*, 2615–2623. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Klugmann-Radziemska, E.; Ostrowski, P. Chemical treatment of crystalline silicon solar cells as a method of recovering pure silicon from photovoltaic modules. *Renew. Energy* **2010**, *35*, 1751–1759. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Zhang, L.G.; Xu, Z.M. Separating and Recycling Plastic, Glass, and Gallium from Waste Solar Cell Modules by Nitrogen Pyrolysis and Vacuum Decomposition. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, 9242–9250. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Shin, J.; Park, J.; Park, N. A method to recycle silicon wafer from end-of-life photovoltaic module and solar panels by using recycled silicon wafers. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2017**, *162*, 1–6. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Lee, J.-K.; Lee, J.-S.; Ahn, Y.-S.; Kang, G.-H.; Song, H.-E.; Lee, J.-I.; Kang, M.-G.; Cho, C.-H. Photovoltaic performance of c-Si wafer reclaimed from end-of-life solar cell using various mixing ratios of HF and HNO<sub>3</sub>. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2017**, *160*, 301–306. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Park, J.; Park, N. Wet etching processes for recycling crystalline silicon solar cells from end-of-life photovoltaic modules. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 34823–34829. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Kim, Y.; Lee, J. Dissolution of ethylene vinyl acetate in crystalline silicon PV modules using ultrasonic irradiation and organic solvent. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2012**, *98*, 317–322. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Doi, T.; Tsuda, I.; Unagida, H.; Murata, A.; Sakuta, K.; Kurokawa, K. Experimental study on PV module recycling with organic solvent method. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2001**, *67*, 397–403. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Dias, P.; Javimczik, S.; Benevit, M.; Veit, H.; Bernardes, A.M. Recycling WEEE: Extraction and concentration of silver from waste crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules. *Waste Manag.* **2016**, *57*, 220–225. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Riech, I.; Castro-Montalvo, C.; Wittersheim, L.; Giacomán-Vallejos, G.; González-Sánchez, A.; Gamboa-Loira, C.; Acosta, M.; Méndez-Gamboa, J. Experimental Methodology for the Separation Materials in the Recycling Process of Silicon Photovoltaic Panels. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 581. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Dias, P.R.; Benevit, M.G.; Veit, H.M. Photovoltaic solar panels of crystalline silicon: Characterization and separation. *Waste Manag. Res.* **2016**, *34*, 235–245. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Klugmann-Radziemska, E.; Ostrowski, P.; Drabczyk, K.; Panek, P.; Szkodo, M. Experimental validation of crystalline silicon solar cells recycling by thermal and chemical methods. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2010**, *94*, 2275–2282. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Kang, S.; Yoo, S.; Lee, J.; Boo, B.; Ryu, H. Experimental investigations for recycling of silicon and glass from waste photovoltaic modules. *Renew. Energy* **2012**, *47*, 152–159. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Tao, J.; Yu, S.R. Review on feasible recycling pathways and technologies of solar photovoltaic modules. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2015**, *141*, 108–124. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Pagnanelli, F.; Moscardini, E.; Granata, G.; Abo Atia, T.; Altimari, P.; Havlik, T.; Toro, L. Physical and chemical treatment of end of life panels: An integrated automatic approach viable for different photovoltaic technologies. *Waste Manag.* **2017**, *59*, 422–431. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. D’Adamo, I.; Miliacca, M.; Rosa, P. Economic Feasibility for Recycling of Waste Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Modules. *Int. J. Photoenergy* **2017**, *2017*, 6. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Yan, Y.; Wang, Z.; Wang, D.; Cao, J.W.; Ma, W.H.; Wei, K.X.; Yun, L. Recovery of Silicon via Using KOH-Ethanol Solution by Separating Different Layers of End-of-Life PV Modules. *JOM* **2020**, *72*, 2624–2632. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Simon, F.G.; Holm, O.; Berger, W. Resource recovery from urban stock, the example of cadmium and tellurium from thin film module recycling. *Waste Manag.* **2013**, *33*, 942–947. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Lee, C.H.; Hung, C.E.; Tsai, S.L.; Popuri, S.R.; Liao, C.H. Resource recovery of scrap silicon solar battery cell. *Waste Manag. Res.* **2013**, *31*, 518–524. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
63. Yousef, S.; Tatariants, M.; Tichonovas, M.; Makarevicius, V. Sustainable technology for mass production of Ag nanoparticles and Al microparticles from damaged solar cell wafers. *Waste Manag.* **2019**, *98*, 126–134. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

64. Yu, M.; Li, Y.Q.; Cheng, Q.J.; Li, S. Numerical simulation of graphene/GaAs heterojunction solar cells. *Sol. Energy* **2019**, *182*, 453–461. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Dingus, P.; Garnett, J.; Wang, S.M.; Chong, C. Low cost single crystal CdZnTe-Silicon tandem PV. *Renew. Energy* **2021**, *168*, 659–667. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Ramanujam, J.; Bishop, D.M.; Todorov, T.K.; Gunawan, O.; Rath, J.; Nekovei, R.; Artegiani, E.; Romeo, A. Flexible CIGS, CdTe and a-Si:H based thin film solar cells: A review. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **2020**, *110*, 20. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Grandell, L.; Höök, M. Assessing Rare Metal Availability Challenges for Solar Energy Technologies. *Sustainability* **2015**, *7*, 11818–11837. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Li, Y.H.; Liu, Z.H.; Li, Q.H.; Liu, Z.Y.; Zeng, L. Recovery of indium from used indium-tin oxide (ITO) targets. *Hydrometallurgy* **2011**, *105*, 207–212. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Gabriel, A.P.; Kasper, A.C.; Veit, H.M. Acid leaching of indium from the screens of obsolete LCD monitors. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *8*, 6. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Cao, Y.; Li, F.; Li, G.M.; Huang, J.W.; Zhu, H.C.; He, W.Z. Leaching and purification of indium from waste liquid crystal display panel after hydrothermal pretreatment: Optimum conditions determination and kinetic analysis. *Waste Manag.* **2020**, *102*, 635–644. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. Tolley, W.K.; Swarup, R. Recovering Cadmium And Tellurium From Thin-Film Photovoltaic Device Scrap. In Proceedings of the EPD Congress 1995, at the Annual Meeting of the Minerals-Metals-and-Materials-Society, Las Vegas, NV, USA, 12–16 February 1995; pp. 503–510.
72. Song, Q.M.; Zhang, L.G.; Xu, Z.M. Indium recovery from In-Sn-Cu-Al mixed system of waste liquid crystal display panels via acid leaching and two-step electrodeposition. *J. Hazard Mater.* **2020**, *381*, 8. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Dhiman, S.; Gupta, B. Cyphos IL 104 assisted extraction of indium and recycling of indium, tin and zinc from discarded LCD screen. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2020**, *237*, 12. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Fang, S.; Tao, T.Y.; Cao, H.B.; Zheng, X.H.; Hu, Y.Y.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, Z. Selective Recovery of Gallium (Indium) from Metal Organic Chemical Vapor Deposition Dust-A Sustainable Process. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*, 9646–9654. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Haddout, A.; Raidou, A.; Fahoume, M. Influence of the layer parameters on the performance of the CdTe solar cells. *Optoelectron. Lett.* **2018**, *14*, 6. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Rocchetti, L.; Beolchini, F. Recovery of valuable materials from end-of-life thin-film photovoltaic panels: Environmental impact assessment of different management options. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2015**, *89*, 59–64. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Kuczynska-Lazewska, A.; Klugmann-Radziemska, E.; Witkowska, A. Recovery of Valuable Materials and Methods for Their Management When Recycling Thin-Film CdTe Photovoltaic Modules. *Materials* **2021**, *14*, 10. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Chen, W.-S.; Chen, Y.-J.; Yueh, K.-C.; Cheng, C.-P.; Chang, T.-C. Recovery of valuable metal from Photovoltaic solar cells through extraction. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2020**, *720*, 012007. [[CrossRef](#)]
79. European Commission. Waste from Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE). Available online: [https://ec.europa.eu/environment/topics/waste-and-recycling/waste-electrical-and-electronic-equipment-weee\\_en](https://ec.europa.eu/environment/topics/waste-and-recycling/waste-electrical-and-electronic-equipment-weee_en) (accessed on 25 March 2022).
80. Latunussa, C.; Mancini, L.; Blengini, G.; Ardente, F.; Pennington, D. *Analysis of Material Recovery from Silicon Photovoltaic Panels*; European Union: Luxembourg, 2016.
81. FRELP Project. Objectives. Available online: <https://frelp.info/the-project/objective/> (accessed on 25 March 2021).
82. FRELP Project. Activities. Available online: <https://frelp.info/the-project/activities/> (accessed on 25 March 2021).
83. Yi, Y.K.; Kim, H.S.; Tran, T.; Hong, S.K.; Kim, M.J. Recovering valuable metals from recycled photovoltaic modules. *J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.* **2014**, *64*, 797–807. [[CrossRef](#)]