

Questions for Coastal Facilities Feasibility Study

1. Please confirm the site area for the feasibility study is defined by the Wareham River to the northeast, the train station near Sawyer Street to the northwest, Main Street / Route 6 to the southwest, and Sandwich Road to the southeast, as depicted by the concept plan included in the RFP.
2. What is the site extent of the topographic and hydrographic survey? Is it limited to the site area between the Wareham River to the northeast, the train station near Sawyer Street to the northwest, the railroad tracks to the southwest, and Sandwich Road to the southeast?
3. How far across the river does the bathymetric survey need to extend?
4. Does the town have any plans (license plans of the piers, etc.) or record information about the site that can be shared?
5. Does the survey need to include a full boundary survey, or can town GIS map be used for boundary information?
6. The RFP mentions that a future at-grade pedestrian crossing of the railroad may be installed by MassDOT if the Town invests in the associated fencing. Is the intent that this project include any design for the proposed railroad crossing or fencing beyond determining a proposed location that works with the final conceptual design for the waterfront?

Answers

1. That is approximately correct. We eventually want to be able to extend the path to the Tremont Nail Factory.
2. We have some land side survey, which I will share, and you may judge whether it is sufficient to design facilities. We don't have wetland resources mapped.
3. We want the fishing experience to be great, so what needs to be the extent of bathymetry is to tell if we are deep enough to make the fishing worthwhile. That is how far.
4. We have no applications for projects in or near the the site.
5. Since this is all public land, we don't think we need a totally accurate boundary survey - so GIS will be fine.
6. Yes, we want the fencing and crossing to work well together. They want us to put in a 6-foot high chainlink. There has got to be a more attractive alternative. Recommendations on the fence would be greatly appreciated,also. The crossing apparently has to be where the train engineer can see it. This may take an iterative process with MADOT-RR. Jacobs is their engineer on this one.